Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Minister for Co-operation).—Sir, I beg to lay :—

1 Notification No. DRL 33 VAC 61, dated 12—15th January 1962 (Amendment to the Mysore Panchayats Budget and Accounts Rules, 1960) ;

2 Notification No. DRL 16 RET 61 dated 18th April 1962 (Amendment to the Mysore Panchayats (Chairman and Vice-Chairman) Election Rules, 1959) ;

3 Notification No. LLH 62 GUL 60, dated 28th April 1962 (The Mysore Taluk Boards (Allowance to Presidents) Rules, 1962) ;

4 Notification No. LLH 62 GUL 60, dated 28th April 1962 (The Mysore Taluk Boards Travelling and Daily Allowance to President and members of Taluk Boards (Amendment) Rules, 1962) ;

5 Notification No. DRL 79 VAD 61, dated 29th May 1962 (The Mysore Panchayats Taxes and Fees (Amendment) Rules, 1962) ;

as required under section 246 of the Mysore Village Panchayats and Local Boards Act, 1959.

Sri R. M. PATIL (Minister for Home).—Sir, I beg to lay :—

1 Notification No. HD 149 TMT 61, dated 25th April 1962 (Reduction of Tax under the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957 in respect of certain Stage Carriage in the District of Raichur) ;

2 Notification No. HD 7 TMT 62, dated 26th April 1962 (Reduction of Tax under the Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957 in respect of certain Stage Carriages in Gulbarga District) ;

3 Notification No. HD 100 TMT 61, dated 26th April 1962 (Reduction of Tax under the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957 in respect of certain Stage Carriages in the District of Raichur) ;

4 Notification No. HD 89 RMT 61, dated 9th May 1962 (Exemption of Tax payable under the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957) ;

5 Notification No. HD 279 TMA 61, dated 10th May 1962 (Amendment to the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Rules, 1957) ;

6 Notification No. HD 126 TMT 61, dated 16th May 1962 (Reduction of Tax payable in respect of Stage Carriages plying between Gulbarga and Bidar Districts) ;

7 Notification No. HD 66 TMT 61, dated 21st May 1962 (Reduction of Tax payable in respect of certain Stage Carriages in the District of Raichur) ;

as required under section 16 (2) of the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957 ;

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN (Deputy Minister for Electricity).—Sir, I beg to lay the Annual Financial Statement of the Mysore State Electricity Board for the year 1962-63 ;

as required under section 61 of the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948.

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Minister for Co-operation).—Sir, I beg to lay :—

1 Order No. A and F 17 CAD 61, dated 23rd April 1962 (Application of section 57 of the Mysore Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 to the Malleswaram Co-operative Bank) ;

2 Order No. A and F 6 CSF 62, dated 24th April 1962 (Application of section 29 of the Mysore Co-operative Societies Act, 1959, to the Pandavapura Sahakara Sakkare Karkhane) ;

as required under section 130 of the Mysore Co-operative Societies Act, 1959.

3 The Annual Report of the Mysore State Ware-Housing Corporation for the year 1960-61 as required under sub-section (9) of Section 42 of the Agricultural Produce (Development and Warehousing) Corporations Act, 1956.

Intimation of Arrest and Release of a Member

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now I have to inform the House of this matter. I have received a communication relating to the arrest and later release of Sri B. P. Kadam, M.L.A., on personal bond, by the Superintendent of Police, North Kanara, Karwar. The communication is as follows :

" I have to inforam you that on 25th May 1962 at about Sir 11 A.M. Sri B. P. Kadam, M.L.A., Karwar,

while travelling in the S. T. Bus from Majali to Goa without valid documents for entering Goa was checked by Shri Giddappa, PSI., M.S.R.P., at Majali Check Post. The M.L.A. had no valid documents to enter Goa and when asked by the P.S.I. Check Post, he refused to get down from the S.T.S. Bus. When the P.S.I. again requested the M.L.A. to get down from the bus, the M.L.A. lost his temper and caught hold the belt of the P.S.I. and pulled him and refused to get down from the bus. Then the P.S.I. managed to get the M.L.A. out of the bus. He arrested him on the spot (Majali Naka) at 11 A.M. on 25th May 1962.

"The P.S.I., M.S.R.P. produced the M.L.A. before the P.S.O., Chittakula, along with his complaint. The P.S.O., Chittakula, registered the offence at 4 P.M. (25th May 1962) as Cr. No. 11/62 under section 353 I.P.C. and released him on personal recognition bond immediately".

I have not received any later information. As and when I receive it, I will place it before the House.

† Sri B. P. KADAM (Karwar).—Sir, if you kindly allow me, I have already written to the Chief Minister. I have also written to the Inspector General of Police by a registered letter to arrange for an identification parade. But no reply has been received so far. I have been treated as a slave and my liberties have been trampled upon. I did not touch the officer. I requested him only to let me know the provision under the Constitution for asking me to get down and nothing further and if he would be kind enough to give me that information, I would be getting down from the bus voluntarily. But all these allegations made are objectionable, Mr. Speaker, and I sternly repudiate the same.

†Sri S. R. KANTHI.—Sir, the position is that it is the duty of the Chair to inform the House about the Communications received by the office. It is not for the Hon'ble Member to refute it because this is not the forum where this sort of evidence for or against can be taken.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is it permissible for the Minister to make a statement? May I know whether he is in order?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—The Hon'ble member Sri Muckannappa has a convenient way of getting angry when he should not get angry. Of course, it is for him whether to get angry or not, but I want to make it clear that it is for the Chair to read out the information that the Chair has received and the Chair cannot go into the pros and cons of the case. It is not fair for any member to contradict the statement that has been received because this is not the forum where such evidence can be put forth or such information or argument can be advanced.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Is it not open to any member when something is said about him, to say that it is not true?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is it a point of order?

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—No.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If it is not a point of order I cannot allow it.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—I ris to a point of order. Is the Education Minister in order in making a statement like this?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—This matte is *sub judice.*

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I cannot understand how it can be *sub judice.* The case is not before a court and it is only before the police officer.

Sri R. M. PATIL.—Even when it is before the investigating authority it is *sub judice.*

Sri B. P. KADAM.—Unless the matter is before the court it cannot be *sub judice.* It has not gone to a court.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—There was a ruling by your predecessor, Sir, that even when a matter was before the enquiry officer until it was before a court of law it does not amount to '*sub judice.*'

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not permit any discussion on that. I allowed the Hon'ble member Sri Kadam to say something because it was a personal matter that related to him and he

(MR. SPEAKER)

wanted to apprise the House of what he felt in the matter. I allowed that more as a matter of indulgence than as a matter of right of the member. The position is that whenever the Speaker receives an intimation of this type there is absolutely no option left to him except to bring it to the notice of the House at the earliest possible time. In fact, when I was reading it out I was unaware of the presence of the member in the House and so when he got up I was a bit surprised. That is why I said that I have not received any latest information.

So far as that contention of the member that he has addressed a letter to the Chief Minister is concerned, it is no concern of mine. Whether he has addressed a letter or a number of letters to the Chief Minister is not a matter which ought to be brought before the House. If he has got anything to tell the Chief Minister, he may put it in writing or go to his chamber and discuss with him. To say that he has also written to the I.G.P. is of much less concern to the House. If he has got any remedy he is at liberty to pursue it, but so far as the House is concerned I have done my duty and there the matter ends for the present. If, on the other hand, the report that has been given to the Speaker by the police officer is wrong or false, then appropriate action will be taken.

Member's Representations

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Sir, I have called attention under rule 63 to a matter of urgent public importance. The subject matter is...

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have not yet given permission to raise it. Till permission is given it cannot be raised. I will look into it at the earliest.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA (Maddur). —Sir, I had sent a call attention notice.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will look into it.

Sri S. SIVAPPA. Sir, I have given notice of a motion regarding the suspension of the Hon'ble member Sri Gopal Gowda under rule 309.

Mr. SPEAKER. This question has been raised by the Leader of the Opposition. He gave notice some time back and came and met me. I have also had a discussion with another Hon'ble member Sri Muckannappa who came and met me. On that occasion it was not pressed that the motion should be taken up the very next day. The motion has again been brought to my notice and so I must make the position very clear. As regards a member who has been suspended from the House, a motion like this cannot be brought unless the member expresses regret. o far as I am aware, I have not heard any kind of regret at all; if any regret is expressed or if anything of that type is done, I will consider it with sympathy. Till that is done, I am not in a position to bring tibefore the House.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಹೆಸರನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ನಾನೂ ಈ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆಯನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಮುಂದಿಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ನಿಜ. ಶ್ರೀ ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡರ ಸಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಮನ್ನಾಮಾಡಿ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸಿ ಆ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದ 381 ಜನ ಮತದಾರರು ರುಜುಹಾಕಿ ಒಂದು ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಈ ಹೊತ್ತು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗಿದೆ. ಅವರನ್ನು ಸಸ್ಪೆಂಡ್ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದನ್ನು ಮನ್ನಾಮಾಡಿ ಎಂದು ಆ ಜನರು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಸಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಮನ್ನಣೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಎಂದೇ? (ನಗು!)

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಕನ್ನಡನಾಡು, ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆ ಎಂದು ಏನೇನೋ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿರುವವರಿಗೆ ನಾನು ಹೇಳಿದುದು ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆ?

ಬೇರೆ ಬೇರೆ ಸಭೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಇಂಥವರಿಗೆ ಇಷ್ಟು ದಿನ ವೆಂದು ಸಸ್ಪೆಂಡ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಅದು ಮುಗಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರು ಸಭೆಗೆ ಬಂದಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇಲ್ಲಿ ನಾವು ಈ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾಗಿ ಕಾನೂನಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದುದನ್ನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಅಗೌರವವಾಗುವ ರೀತಿ ತಪ್ಪು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಆ ರೀತಿ ನಡೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಾವು ಮನಸ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಾರದು. ಮತದಾರರು ನಲ್ಲಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಸಭಾಸದ್ಯರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಪಡೆದು ಆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಸಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಮನ್ನಾ ಮಾಡುವುದನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ವಿನಯದಿಂದ ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA. --The Speaker was pleased to say that because the member did not express regret for his conduct, the request could not be entertained. Because the member did not take the earliest